

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PRO. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,120	10/20/2000		Dosuk D. Lee	04712/027002	7808
21559	7590	05/21/2003			
CLARK & E			EXAMINER		
101 FEDERA BOSTON, MA				SHARAREH, S	SHAHNAM J
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617	
•				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1				Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
•		• 4 •		09/693,120	_	LEE ET AL.		
	Offic	Action Summary	,	Examiner		Art Unit		
				Shahnam Si		1617		
Period f		LING DATE of this comm	nunication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING E ensions of time r r SIX (6) MONTI e period for reply D period for repl ure to reply within reply received by	O STATUTORY PERIOD OF THIS COMMINATE OF THIS COM	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.13 communication. rty (30) days, a reply m statutory period w reply will, by statute, ths after the mailing	36(a). In no event, within the statutor vill apply and will ex cause the applica	however, may a reply be till y minimum of thirty (30) day opire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co		
1) <u></u>	Pesnons	ive to communication(s	s) filed on 05 M	March 2002				
اکرا (2a	-	ive to communication(son is FINAL .	·	s action is no	un final			
3)□		s application is in cond	/- -			raccoution on to th	a marita ia	
,		accordance with the p					e ments is	
· · _		inis <u>22-44</u> is/are pending in	the application	n				
•		above claim(s)i	• •		deration			
		is/are allowed.						
·		22-44 is/are rejected.						
·	–	is/are objected to						
·	–	are subject to res		election regi	uirement.			
	ion Papers			·				
9) 🗌 🤈	The specifi	cation is objected to by	the Examiner	•				
10) 🔲 🤇	The drawin	g(s) filed on is/a	ire: a)∏ accep	ted or b)☐ ob	jected to by the Exa	miner.		
_		may not request that any						
11) 🗌 .	The propos	ed drawing correction	filed on	is: a)∐ appı	oved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examine	er.	
🗖 -		d, corrected drawings are		-	e action.			
		r declaration is objected	d to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowled	dgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐] Some * c) ☐ None o	of:					
	1. Cert	tified copies of the prior	rity documents	have been r	eceived.			
	2. Cert	tified copies of the prior	rity documents	have been r	eceived in Applicati	on No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional								
a) 🗌 The tra	anslation of the foreign	language prov	isional applic	cation has been rec	eived.		
م لـــاردا Attachmeni		grient is made of a clai	in ioi uoillestic	priority unde	51 30 U.S.C. 99 12U	allu/Ul IZI.		
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of Reference e of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review sure Statement(s) (PTO-1445		4) 5) 6)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC		

Application/Control Number: 09/693,120

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment filed on March 05, 2003 has been entered. Claims 22-44 are pending. Any rejection that is not addressed in this Office Action is considered obviated in view of the amendment and arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for the reasons of record. Applicant's arugments have been fully considered but are not found persusive, because they it does not specify which derivatives are applicant claiming? Accordingly the recitation of "derivatives thereof" encompass a plethora of compounds, the scope of which is not enabled.

Claims 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The recitation of "derivatives thereof" in claim 24 renders the claim indefinite. The recitation of "derivatives thereof" encompass various possible moieties, the metes and bounds of which are not clear. Each individual compound of claim 24 can contain numerous functional or non-functional derivatives, however, specification fails to define the scope of such derivatives. Thus, the claim as a whole is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 22-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamura et al (JPN. J. Pharamcol 65, 289-291 (1994), IDS filed on 12/6/2002)

In view of Gerhart et al US Patent 5,085,861 and Constantz et al US Patent 5,782,971.

The instant claims are directed to paste compositions comprising calcium phosphate and an anticancer and kits thereof containing a second adjuvant and means to deliver the composition.

Yamamura discloses methods of implanting injectable doxorubicin loaded hydroxyapatite beads for treating tumor (abstract, entire page 289-291). The Ca/P raion of Yamamura's composition is 1.68. Yamamura does not specifically teach a paste formulation.

Gerhard disclose calcium phosphate containing compositions comprising biocompatible calcium phosphate ceramics that can be in the form of an injectable or moldable paste and will solidify within 10 minutes after administration. (see abstract; col 7, lines 30-46, 60-67; col 8, lines 1-20; examples 2-3). The particle size of Gerhard's compositions falls within the instantly claimed nanocrystalline (see col 7, lines 15-25). Gerhard's compositions contain active agents that are readily used in treatment of cancers such as bone tumor (col 13, lines 45-67). Gerhard finally discloses kits for preparing his composition for ease of use in a clinical or surgical setting (col 7, lines 42-49).

Constantz et al teach amorphous calcium phosphate containing compositions that are used as suitable drug delivery vehicles (col 2, lines 60-67; col 6, lines 61-63). Constantz specifically teaches paste formulations of calcium phosphate that are capable of hardening after administration at the site of interest (col 6, lines 40-64). Constantz's composition comprise about 15 wt% of the dry ingredient (solid component) having particle sizes of about 0.5- 500 microns (col 5 lines 1-3; and lines 14-25).

Constantz further indicates that one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to modify the viscosity of his composition by varying the percentages of solids in his composition, thus allowing for ease of administration (col 6, lines 32-39). Constantz suggests the use of an additional calcium phosphate and also states that the calcium to phosphate ratio of such compositions should be about 1.6 to about 1.8 (see col 3, lines 5-20; col 5, lines 1-10, claims 1-5). Constantz finally suggests preparing kits to ease access and preparation (see col 7, lines 1-10). Constantz lacks the specific teaching of an anticancer agent in combination with the calcium phosphate vehicle.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify physical characteristics of Yammmura's composition into an injectable paste, as suggested by Gerhard and Constantz, and formulate a hardenable calcium phosphate formulation that is easily administered to a site of interest such as a tumor, because the ordinary artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success in achieving the same results. Finally, the ordinary artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of success in preparing a ready to use kit for easing the access and use of such compositions at a clinical setting.

Double Patenting

Claims 22-44 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22-44 of copending Application No. 09/692,664 for the reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,120

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusi n

No claims are allowed. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on December 5, 2002 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 703-306-5400. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 703-308-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,120

Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

SS

May 19, 2003

RUSSELL TRAVERS

GROUP 1200